

**DIFFERENT LEVEL MULTI MEDIA CONTENT DELIVERY SYSTEM BASED ON ADVANCE
WATERMARK ALGORITHMS****Logeswaran A**Assistant Professor,
Department of Information Technology,
Velalar College of Engineering and Technology
Erode, India**Dheektchana S, Gopinath A,****Kamalesh K, Kavishna G**
Department of
Information Technology,
Velalar College of
Engineering and Technology
Erode, India

ABSTRACT: Wireless multimedia dispatches have developed fleetly with adding wireless access bandwidth and popular intelligent bias. In the decade, a number of studies have been conducted to design robust and effective schemes for delivering multimedia content over error-prone wireless networks. In discrepancy, veritably many of those studies have concentrated on the security aspect of similar transmission. There have been adding demands for the security of wireless multimedia Operations in recent times. Wireless networks when compared to traditional wired networks, are more likely prone to vicious attacks. Current security styles include physical layer and operation layer security technologies singly and independently. Generally, physical layer information is dynamic in wireless networks, and operation layer information is related to wireless multimedia content delivery; both have significant impact on security performance. This study proposes a common frame combining both the physical and operation layer security technologies. Specifically, by exercising the security capacity and signal processing technologies at the physical layer and the authentication and watermarking strategies at the operation layer, the available network coffers can be employed efficiently. Moreover, scalable multimedia security services could be maximized within given multimedia delivery deadlines. So, in particular, this common scheme could be enforced fluently with low communication above, which facilitates the deployment in large-scale wireless multimedia systems.

Keywords: Watermark Algorithm, Least Significant Bit, Image Processing, Content Delivery.

INTRODUCTION

In recent times, with the rise of cloud-computing and software-as-a-service, storehouse of cloud has come a exploration hotspot in the field of information storehouse. Comprinted with traditional storehouse bias, pall storehouse is further than just a piece of tackle, but a system conforming of multiple corridor similar as network bias, storehouse bias, waiters, operation software, public access interfaces, access networks, and customer programs. Druggies who need storehouse services no longer need to set up their own data centers, just apply to the force- side platform for storehouse services, therefore avoiding spare construction of the storehouse platform. Still, considering security and usability, numerous companies and individualities are reticent to entrust their sensitive data to third- party service providers. Although the providers can guarantee data continuity, they still cannot completely guarantee data confidentiality when faced with vicious workers.

Recent reports indicate that utmost of pall services are still suddenly of crucial capabilities to insure compliance. They warrant translucency in government oversight and security mechanisms to cover data. In general, if data is stored in one cloud garçon, the only way to insure confidentiality is to cipher the data on the customer, upload it to the pall, and decipher it as it's down loaded. Unfortunately, this system requires a large number of keys to be generated and maintained, and the computational outflow is veritably high. In addition, this kind of crucial . encryption system is only computationally safe. It can help the current mainstream calculating power adversaries from cracking, but it can't help unborn adversaries with faster calculating power and indeed quantum computer calculating power. Another more serious failing of a single garçon pall storehouse result is that in the event of a disaster or other Dislocation, the pall storehouse service will be fully ineffective and the data may not be recoverable.

In order to break above problems of a single garçon pall storehouse result, a new pall storehouse result called distributed pall has been proposed and becomes more and more popular. Distributed pall stores data exactly across multiple independent waiters, which allows druggies to recover data by penetrating a normal garçon when a limited number of waiters are unapproachable. In addition, the exploration on distributed

Logeswaran A , Dheektchana S, Gopinath A, Kamalesh K, Kavishna G



computing also makes the operation of distributed pall more and more expansive. The main data protection technology of this new type of pall storehouse scheme is generally secret sharing, which is a special encoding system that combines the stoner's original data with Spare arbitrary data to insure that the original data can only be attained by a certain quantum of decoded scrap.

Secret sharing scheme was proposed singly grounded on Lagrange interpolation system and the parcels of multi-dimensional space points. This problem describes that a secret data is participated by n actors, at least k actors can concertedly reconstruct the secret, and lower than k actors can not get any information about the secret. Secret sharing is a kind of cryptographic technology of dividing and storing secrets as well as an important means of information security and data sequestration. What secret sharing does is to help inordinate concentration of secrets, so as to achieve the purpose of spreading pitfalls and permitting intrusion. The biggest advantage of secret sharing is that it's information-theoretic- security that indeed an bushwacker with unlimited computing power can't get any information about the stored data. Still, compared to the traditional encryption system, secret sharing does not bear any encryption keys, but it'll bring several times the storehouse outflow of the original data and fresh coding and decoding complexity, and needs to induce a large.

Quantum of arbitrary data (these data will only be used formerly after they're generated, and won't need to be stored and reused like keys). Thus, they're presently only used to ever store small quantities of data, similar as encryption keys. Since this problem was put forward, secret sharing and erasure law in distributed storehouse have been developing continuously. Now it has come a abecedarian cryptographic system and is used as a structure block in multitudinous secure protocols, especially in threshold cryptography and secure multi-party calculation.

Shamir's polynomial interpolation concept for constructing an elegant, is an effective perfect threshold scheme, in which the scheme was proved to be nearly related to Reed-Solomon canons; it was almost generalized to MDS (Maximum Distance Separable) array codes then. An explicit structure of first known system (n, k) MDS array code was described where $n - k$ is equal to some constants, and so that the amount of information required to reconstruct an erased column is $= 1/(n - k)$, matching lower bound of information theory.

Recently, remarkable interest are present in incorporating secrets for erasure codes in distributed storage. These codes are determined as threshold secret sharing schemes Huang et al. proposed secret sharing which is communication effective based on MDS (2015) code, and it was proved that communication cost of that scheme attained lower bound.

The key idea of this scheme to achieve optimal communication bandwidth was to let user receive information from more than necessary number of parties. Considerable theoretical effort had been focused in reducing the computation complexity of Shamir's secret-sharing scheme, while still making it information-theoretically secure, most of these solutions were based on balanced bandwidth conditions, and there is few research on unbalanced bandwidth load.

Fortunately, today technological advances have brought innovative ideas. A new algorithm(secret sharing), secure RAID (Redundant Arrays of Independent Drives), was also proposed. The algorithm effectively decodes partial data, and computational overhead was compared with standard erasure coding. Importantly, addition of RAID technology allowed distributed storage for matching unbalanced bandwidth during communication.

RELATED WORK

In this paper [1], the authors considered the problem of erecting a secure pall storehouse service on top of a public pall structure where the service provider isn't fully trusted by the client. We describe, at a high position, several infrastructures that combine recent and non-standard cryptographic savages in order to achieve our thing. We survey the benefits such an armature would give to both guests and service providers and give an overview of recent advances in cryptography motivated specifically by pall storehouse.

Advances in networking technology and an increase in the need for calculating coffers have urged numerous associations to outsource their storehouse and computing requirements. This new profitable and calculating Logeswaran A , Dheektchana S, Gopinath A, Kamalesh K, Kavishna G



model is generally appertained to as pall computing and includes colorful types of services similar as structure as a service (IaaS), where a client makes use of a service provider's computing, storehouse or networking structure; platform as a service (PaaS), where a client leverages the provider's coffers to run custom operations; and eventually software as a service (SaaS), where guests use software that's run on the providers structure [4-9].

Cloud architectures can be roughly distributed as either private or public. In a private pall, the structure is managed and possessed by the client and located on- premise (i.e., in the guests region of control). In particular, this means that access to client data is under its control and is only granted to parties it trusts. In a public pall the structure is possessed and managed by a pall service provider and is located off- premise (i.e.,in the service provider's region of control). This means that client data is outside its control and could potentially be granted to untrusted parties.

Storage services grounded on public shadows similar as Microsoft's Azure storehouse service and Amazon's S3 give guests with scalable and dynamic storehouse. By moving their data to the pall guests can avoid the costs of structure and maintaining a private storehouse structure, concluding rather to pay a service provider as a function of its requirements. For utmost guests, this provides several benefits including vacuity (i.e.,being suitable to pierce data from anywhere) and trustability(i.e.,not having to worry about backups) at a fairly low cost.

The core factors of a cryptographic storehouse service can be enforced using a variety of ways, some of which were developed specifically for pall storehouse. When preparing data for storehouse in the pall, the data processor begins by indexing it and cracking it with a symmetric encryption scheme (e.g., AES) under a unique key. It also encrypts the indicator using a searchable encryption scheme and encrypts the unique key with an trait- grounded encryption scheme under an applicable policy. Eventually, it encodes the translated data and indicator in such a way that the data verifier can latterly corroborate their integrity using a evidence of storehouse.

In the following they gave high position descriptions of these new cryptographic savages. While traditional ways like encryption and digital autographs could be used to apply the core factors, they would do so at considerable cost in communication and calculation. To see why, consider the illustration of an association that encrypts and signs its data before storing it in the pall.

While this easily preserves confidentiality and integrity it has the following limitations. To enable searching over the data, the client has to either store an indicator locally, or download all the (translated) data, decipher it and search locally. The first approach obviously negates the benefits of pall storehouse (since indicators can grow large) while the second has high communication complexity. Also, to corroborate the integrity of the data, the association would have to recoup all the data first in order to corroborate the signatures.

However, this verification procedure is obviously undesirable, If the data is large. Colorful results grounded on (reconciled) hash functions could also be used, but all similar approaches only allow a fixed number of verifications.

As scientists continue to produce large data sets which have broad value for the scientific community, demand will increase for a storehouse structure to make similar data accessible and sharable. To incent scientists to partake their data, scientific societies similar as the Optical Society of America are considering establishing a publication forum for data sets in cooperation with assiduity. Such an interactive publication forum will need to give strong guarantees to authors on how their data sets may be penetrated and used by others, and could be erected on a cryptographic cloud storehouse system like the one proposed then.

In this paper [2], the authors stated that RAM Cloud is a storehouse system that provides low- quiescence access to large-scale datasets. To achieve low quiescence, RAM Cloud stores all data in DRAM at all times. To support large capacities (1 PB or further), it summations the recollections of thousands of waiters into a single coherent key- value store. RAM Cloud ensures the continuity of DRAM- grounded data by keeping provisory clones on secondary storehouse. It uses a invariant log structured medium to manage both DRAM and secondary storehouse, which results in high performance and effective memory operation.

Logeswaran A , Dheektchana S, Gopinath A, Kamalesh K, Kavishna G



RAM Cloud uses a polling- grounded approach to communication, bypassing the kernel to communicate directly with NICs; with this approach, customer operations can read small objects from any RAM Cloud storehouse garçon in lower than 5 μ s, durable writes of small objects take about 13.5 μ s. RAM Cloud doesn't keep multiple clones of data online; rather, it provides high vacuity by recovering from crashes veritably snappily (1 to 2 seconds). RAM Cloud 's crash recovery medium harnesses the coffers of the entire cluster working coincidentally so that recovery performance scales with cluster size.

This composition describes RAM Cloud , a general- purpose distributed storehouse system that keeps all data in DRAM at all times. RAM Cloud combines three overall attributes low quiescence, large scale, and continuity.

When used with state-of-the- art networking, RAM Cloud offers exceptionally low quiescence for remote access. In our 80- knot development cluster with QDR InfiniBand, a customer can read any 100-byte object in lower than 5 μ s, and durable writes take about 13.5 μ s. In a large datacenter with bumps, we anticipate small reads to complete in lower than 10 μ s, which is 50 to times faster than the storehouse systems generally used moment.

The third trait of RAM Cloud is continuity. Although RAM Cloud keeps all data in DRAM, it also maintains provisory clones of data on secondary storehouse to insure a high position of continuity and vacuity. This frees operation inventors from the need to manage a separate durable storehouse system, or to maintain thickness between in- memory and durable storehouse.

It's our stopgap that low- quiescence storehouse systems similar as RAM Cloud will stimulate the development of a new class of operations that manipulate large-scale datasets more intensely than is presently possible. Section 2 motivates RAM Cloud by showing how the high quiescence of current storehouse systems limits large-scale operations, and it speculates about new operations that might be enabled by RAM Cloud.

RAM Cloud isan trial in achieving low quiescence at large scale our thing is to make a storehouse system that provides the fastest possible access to the largest possible datasets. As a result, RAM Cloud uses DRAM as the primary position for data, and it combines the main recollections of thousands of waiters to support large-scale datasets. RAM Cloud employs several new ways, similar as a invariant log-structured medium for managing all storehouse, a networking subcaste that bypasses the kernel to communicate directly with the NIC using a polling approach, and an approach to vacuity that backups gormandize crash recovery for online replication. The result is a system further than times faster than the fragment- grounded storehouse systems that have been the status quo for utmost of the once four decades.

They took an extreme approach in RAM Cloud, similar as using DRAM for storehouse rather of flash memory and designing the system to support at least waiters. They believed that this approach will maximize the quantum they learn, both about how to structure systems for low quiescence and large scale and about what kind of operations an extreme low- quiescence system might enable.

Their ultimate thing for RAM Cloud is to enable new operations that couldn't live preliminarily. They don't yet know what those operations will be, but history suggests that large performance advancements are generally followed by instigative new operations that take advantage of the new capabilities. As RAM Cloud and other low- quiescence storehouse systems come extensively available, we look forward to seeing the operations that affect.

They began exploratory conversations about RAM Cloud in 2009, and we started perpetration in humorless in the spring of 2010. By late 2011, numerous of the introductory operations were enforced, and we were suitable to demonstrate fast crash recovery for masters; still, the system wasn't complete enough to use for real operations. In January 2014, we tagged interpretation 1.0, which includes all of the features described in this composition.

The system presently consists of about lines of heavily reflected C 11 law and another lines of unit tests; it includes customer tapes for C, C, Java, and Python. They've tried to make the perpetration "product quality," not Logeswaran A , Dheektchana S, Gopinath A, Kamalesh K, Kavishna G



just a exploration prototype; we believe that the current interpretation is mature enough to support operations. Source law for the system is freely available on GitHub (2015a). Performance measures in this work were made using the head of the tocs- paper branch in the GitHub depository.

In this paper (3), the authors stated that dispersing lines across multiple spots yields a variety of egregious benefits, similar as vacuity, propinquity and trustability. Lower obviously, it enables security to be achieved without counting on encryption keys. Standard approaches to disbandment either achieve veritably high security with similarly high computational and warehouse costs, or low security with lower costs. In this paper, we describe a new disbandment scheme, called AONT-RS, which blends an Each-Or-Nothing Transform with Reed-Solomon rendering to achieve high security with low computational and warehouse costs.

They estimated that scheme both theoretically and as enforced with standard open source tools. AONTRS forms the backbone of a marketable dispersed warehouse system, which we compactly describe and also use as a farther experimental testbed. They concluded with details of factual deployments.

Dispersed warehouse systems coalesce multiple warehouse spots into a collaborative total. Lines are perished into lower blocks which are computationally overpraised and also dispersed to the warehouse spots. When a customer solicitation to read a train, it retrieves some subset of the blocks, which are combined to reconstitute the original train.

Compared to traditional single- point warehouse systems, dispersed warehouse systems offer a variety of benefits. Multiple independent warehouse spots offer lesser vacuity than a single point, since they've no single point of failure. When spots are physically distributed across a wide area, they offer physical propinquity to distributed guests, which can ameliorate performance and scalability. Eventually, the puffing of data generally includes adding redundancy in the form of erasure canons or secret sharing, which improves trustability in the face of failures.

A side benefit of disbandment is the capability to give security without the use of encryption keys. The introductory ways are classics from computer wisdom literature Shamir's secret sharing and Rabin's information disbandment grounded onnon-systematic erasure canons. Each fashion is a (k, n) threshold scheme. The warehouse system transforms a train into n distinct blocks. A customer or bushwhacker must recoup at least k of the n blocks to reconstruct the train.

With smaller than k blocks, the customer or bushwhacker gets no information. Several of the abovementioned systems use these ways to achieve security by storing each of the n pieces at a different point, and assuming that an bushwhacker won't be suitable to authenticate himself to at least k of them. This avoids encryption strategies which bear the secure warehouse of encryption keys, a delicate and dangerous practice.

Dispersed warehouse systems enable vacuity, scalability, and performance grounded on physical propinquity. They also enable security via (k, n) threshold schemes that bear bushwhackers to authenticate themselves to k of n warehouse bumps in order to read data. The threshold schemes give this security without counting on the secure warehouse of encryption keys, which is a notoriously delicate problem.

They've described a new disbandment algorithm called AONT-RS, which combines the All-Or-Nothing Transfigure with methodical Reed-Solomon canons to achieve computational security. Compared to traditional approaches to disbandment, AONT-RS has a veritably seductive mix of parcels. Its warehouse and computational footmark is much lower than Shamir secret sharing. While Shamir achieves information theoretic security AONTRS's security can be tuned so that concession is computationally infeasible.

Compared to Rabin's classic disbandment algorithm, AONT-RS achieves a far lesser degree of security, and also better performance for larger installations. This is because AONT-RS is grounded on a methodical Reed-Solomon erasure law rather than the nonsystematic law employed by Rabin. We've detailed the theoretical and applied performance of the disbandment algorithms, and described a marketable dispersed warehouse product that's grounded upon the disbandment algorithm.

Logeswaran A , Dheektchana S, Gopinath A, Kamalesh K, Kavishna G



AONT-RS isn't specific to their disbandment result. For illustration, the POTSHARDS archival warehouse system (30) could use AONT-RS to apply computational rather than information theoretic security and reduce their warehouse conditions by a factor of three. Other results similar as Gridsharing (31) can ameliorate their security by employing AONT-RS rather than a standard methodical Reed-Solomon law.

In unborn work, we'd like to collect data from our private and marketable deployments concerning failures, knot vacancy, concession and attack. Similar data will enable us to make better policy opinions concerning configurations of dispersed warehouse. These opinions will allow us to tune the AONT and erasure law configuration used, and will also allow us to make the most effective use of our warehouse.

PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

A. SINGLE LEVEL IMAGE WATER MARKING (SENDER) MECHANISM

In this module, the image data is taken and encoded so that original image is changed. The encoded data is obtained as input, the source coding function encodes the contents according to the received input rate and required output rate. In the channel coding part, authentication and watermarking are constructed. First the data is packetized, then encoded, followed by authorization and watermarking. To provide dynamic packet protection for an encoded stream, the most important step is to packet size the stream based on its content priority and difference.

The operations of authentication and water-marking can directly relate to the multimedia content since the application packet only depends on the multimedia content. As a result, the importance and priority of the packets can be obtained easily.

B. SINGLE LEVEL IMAGE WATER MARKING (RECEIVER) MECHANISM

At the receiver, sequences of packets are received. Then content detection is performed on the received content to test the integrity of the content. The packet errors are found out and the error report (feedback) from receiver to sender is being sent twice so that even if the first information is attacked, the second copy helps to recognize the error details.

C. MULTI LEVEL IMAGE WATER MARKING (SENDER) MECHANISM

In this module, the image data is taken and encoded so that original image is changed. In addition, the type of the data (Normal/High definition) is also encoded. The encoded data is obtained as input, the source coding function encodes the contents according to the received input rate and required output rate. In the channel coding part, authentication and watermarking are constructed. First the data is packet, then encoded, followed by authorization and watermarking. To provide dynamic packet protection for an encoded stream, the most important step is to packetize the stream based on its content priority and difference. The operations of authentication and water-marking can directly relate to the multimedia content since the application packet only depends on the multimedia content. As a result, the importance and priority of the packets can be obtained easily.

D. MULTI LEVEL IMAGE WATER MARKING (RECEIVER) MECHANISM

At the receiver, sequences of packets are received. Then content detection is performed on the received content to test the integrity of the content. The packet errors are found out and the error report (feedback) from receiver to sender is being sent twice so that even if the first information is attacked, the second copy helps to recognize the error details. The original data is received and data type information is retrieved based on proper decoding. Based on the receiver capability, the Normal/High Definition data is find out. Then decoding occurs to get image data which contains original image with watermark data. Then de-watermarking is carried out, checked and raw image data is displayed if watermark found to be correct.

IMAGE SELECTION

Logeswaran A , Dheektchana S, Gopinath A, Kamalesh K, Kavishna G




Fig 3.1 Image Selection

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Here, the study was carried out in following area.

- Device authentication With the advances in the Internet of Effects and device-to- device dispatches, the authentication of bias and their associated multimedia services should be vindicated concertedly since different bias may have different multimedia content and security situations.
- Content confidentialityThe multimedia contents of the transmitters (e.g., bias), and the corresponding control and feedback dispatches should be more non-public to cover the sequestration of both the transmitter and receiver.
- Green security The receiver should be suitable to corroborate in an energy-apprehensive way that any entered contents are delivered unaltered in a multiple- stoner terrain.
- Communication outflowsThecross-layer security service should be effective in terms of communication and processing charges because wireless multimedia communication is veritably sensitive to transmission quiescence.

CONCLUSION

This design implements a common frame involving both physical layer and operation layer security technologies. Through exploiting the security capacity and signal processing technologies at the physical layer and the authentication and watermarking strategies at the operation layer, the available network resource can be

Logeswaran A , Dheektchana S, Gopinath A, Kamalesh K, Kavishna G



efficiently employed, and the scalable multimedia security service can be achieved without changing the current communication frame.

Importantly, the proposed common security armature can be enforced in a distributed manner by swapping dispatches between the authorized transmitters and receivers, therefore achieving a satisfying trade-off between the security position and communication outflow. In this design, the original data is entered and data type information is recaptured grounded on proper decoding. Grounded on the receiver capability, the Normal/High Description data is found out. Also decoding occurs to get image data which contains original image with watermark data. Also dewater marking is carried out, checked and raw image data is displayed if watermark to be correct.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Kamara and K. Lauter, “Cryptographic cloud storage,” in Proc. Int. Conf. Financial Cryptogr. Data Secur., Berlin, Heidelberg, Jan. 2010, pp. 136–149.
- [2] J. Ousterhout, A. Gopalan, A. Gupta, A. Kejriwal, C. Lee, B. Montazeri, D. Ongaro, S. J. Park, H. Qin, M. Rosenblum, S. Rumble, R. Stutsman, and S. Yang, “The RAM Cloud storage system,” ACM Trans. Comput. Syst., vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 1–55, Sep. 2015.
- [3] J. K. Resch and J. S. Plank, “AONT-RS: Blending security and performance in dispersed storage systems,” in Proc. USENIX Conf. File Stroage Technol., Feb. 2011, pp. 191–202
- [4] M. Abdalla, M. Bellare, D. Catalano, E. Kiltz, T. Kohno, T. Lange, J. M. Lee, G. Neven, P. Paillier, and H. Shi. Searchable encryption revisited: Consistency properties, relation to anonymous IBE, and extensions. In V. Shoup, editor, *Advances in Cryptology – CRYPTO ’05*, volume 3621 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 205–222. Springer, 2005.
- [5] G. Ateniese, R. Burns, R. Curtmola, J. Herring, L. Kissner, Z. Peterson, and D. Song. Provable data possession at untrusted stores. In P. Ning, S. De Capitani diVimercati, and P. Syverson, editors, *ACM Conference on Computer and Communication Security (CCS ’07)*. ACM Press, 2007.
- [6] G. Ateniese, S. Kamara, and J. Katz. Proofs of storage from homomorphic identification protocols. In *To appear in Advances in Cryptology - ASIACRYPT ’09*, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, 2009.
- [7] G. Ateniese, R. Di Pietro, L. V. Mancini, and G. Tsudik. Scalable and efficient provable data possession. In *Proceedings of the 4th international conference on Security and privacy in communication networks (SecureComm ’08)*, pages 1–10, New York, NY, USA, 2008. ACM.
- [8] J. Baek, R. Safavi-Naini, and W. Susilo. On the integration of public key data encryption and public key encryption with keyword search. In *International Conference on Information Security (ISC ’06)*, volume 4176 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, 2006.
- [9] J. Baek, R. Safavi-Naini, and W. Susilo. Public key encryption with keyword search revisited. In *International conference on Computational Science and Its Applications*, pages 1249–1259. Springer-Verlag, 2008.